

Planning Application PN/23/96630
3 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE, FAIR OAK, EASTLEIGH, SO50 7QL

Representatee	Address	Comments
Matthew Jones	8 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to formally object to planning application PN/23/96630, specifically concerning the proposed access from Pembers Farm Avenue to the agricultural field. This marks the third attempt of a similar request in our area (see PN/23/96033 and DC/23/96078), and my objection stems from the apparent attempt to add road access to an agricultural plot, potentially opening it to future development. This amendment contradicts the original planning of Pembers Farm Avenue and raises concerns about safety, noise, and the character of our community. While the submission letter suggests access through adjacent fields owned by a former husband/business partner, a longstanding arrangement has been in place for years. It seems plausible to continue this arrangement or explore access via existing roads, even if challenging. Sole ownership of the land since 2010 prompts questions about the timing of raising access concerns, an appropriate time to raise concerns would have been during the Pembers Hill Farm development proposal in 2015 (O/15/77190). Although the business partnership ended in 2018, a functional system has been in place for approximately five years. The proposal introduces a new road access point for heavy agricultural equipment through a residential area, posing safety risks to residents and road users. Contradictions in the application, such as noise levels and proposed activities, raise further concerns. The submission letter asserts that there will be no change in activity and noise. However, within the same application, it is indicated that there will be approximately two agricultural activities per week as an average usage amount. This inconsistency raises concerns about the accuracy and transparency of the information provided. The potential for further development on existing agricultural land could alter our community's character and strain infrastructure. In light of these concerns, I respectfully request a reconsideration of the planning application, prioritizing the safety and well-being of our community. Thank you for considering these objections during the review process. Kind regards, Matthew Jones
James Budworth	36 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Similar to the previous application submitted and then recinded, we at 36 Pembers Farm Avenue object to the proposed application. The 'new' application still does not address the concerns raised by us & others on our estate, despite the additional information provided by the applicant.

		Pembers farm avenue, the main road on the estate, has the potential to be extremely dangerous if the applicant is successful and starts using the road for farm traffic (e.g. tractors, trailers and other heavy vehicles). For starters, Pembers Farm Avenue has a childrens playground and play field on it, with many pedestrian crossings for which to access it. It is not safe to make this a through-road for these heavy large vehicles to a field (which has THREE other access points) - when the potential is to put them in direct contact with children , in what is otherwise a safe neighbourhood. I am concerned that the potential for serious harm would be increased by increased farming traffic. There is also a large number of children that regularly walk, play, cycle, scooter up and down the estate, further increasing the risk to farm traffic & the children themselves. This is a serious consideration to the planning proposed. Another aspect not accounted for in the documents supporting the application is the dirt & dust generation from the field onto our streets. This not only causes the estate to look dirty & every house, car, door & window to be dirty, it also creates a health risk. Dust/dirt can have a detrimental impact on people's respiratory systems & in the summer months cause increased in suffering from hayfever. The dirt can be a physical hazard too. This can cause roads to be slipperier for cars, bikes, scooters etc and cause a hazard for drivers and pedestrians, alike. Noise would also be a problem for me & my neighbours - farm traffic going up and down the road at all times of day & night, depending on the season. My final aspect to my objection is that the proposed site of the gate won't be suitable for farm traffic anyway. The tight corner from Pembers Farm Avenue to the new proposed access point would not allow safe passage for any farm traffic - unless the council is satisfied with said farm traffic mounting a pedestrian pathway? I cannot see how farm traffic would get around this any other way, so therefore on this ground alone the application should be rejected. With 3 other suitable access points, I find it difficult to understand why a 4th is required? I appreciate from the supporting documents that some access requires crossing onto another person's land, but not all access are under this restriction.
Viran Wiltshire	14 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	Please can I take this opportunity to raise my objections to the request for an access road to agricultural land to the northwest of Pembers Farm Avenue. There is no reason for this access other than a back handed attempt to set in place access for further residential development on that land in the future. That land has sufficient access from the farm which is demonstrated by the continued management of the field over the summer. OS survey shows this route to be almost twice the distance from the farmstead. There is also concern about the impact that this change will have on wildlife within the area with the current developer specifically designing green areas to encourage wildlife. The roads are also not designed for agricultural vehicles (and eventually increased traffic to future residential development) as access to this road will be via roads designed to be a one way system. The mess that is left by

		these vehicles will be the responsibility of residents to bare as they are currently private roads. I urge the planning department to oppose this planning application that is in my opinion an underhand attempt to obtain an access road to land earmarked for development. This land has recently been refused due to it being an important wildlife corridor. This appears to variation to previous applications. The concerns still remain. Also the timeliness of this process over holiday season and lack of notice to residents.
Brittany Forsythe	4 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	Once again, the residents of 4 CHISLEBOURNE LANE, FAIR OAK, EASTLEIGH, SO50 7QP, object to any further developments being made around or in Pembers Hill Farm, Mortimers Lane, Fair Oak, Eastleigh, SO50 7EA. The excessive noise, more pollution would increase, loss of privacy by overlooking into gardens as the homes are already very close together. The external damage to the roads and the whole layout would once again cause more parking issues and safety for pedestrians and animals who live here. Destroying more land and cutting down more trees would also impact surrounding animals habitats. It just isn't good planning and should have been thought of before building this estate in the first place. Please take this into consideration before making your final decisions on this matter. Thank you, the concerned residents at Number 4 Chislebourne Lane, Fair Oak, Eastleigh
Joshua Robinson	26 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	After reviewing the application, I believe this permission to be both unsafe for the children and Pets on Pembers Farm Avenue, unfit for purpose and will also cause noise pollution on our streets. These land owners sold their land for profit and now want to make it possible to turn an estate into a workplace which isn't acceptable.
Michelle Reynolds	21 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	I object to this application due to the safety to young children playing on the estate on bikes and scooters, the park is due to be completed this December which is likely to encourage more children onto the estate to play. I also object as agricultural vehicles would still need to turn left onto the one way system at the end of Chislebourne Lane before entering the proposed new gates, if the one way finished further back into Chislebourne Lane it would still cause visibility issues when leaving the one way to turn right onto Pembers Farm Avenue. The same would apply for vehicles turning right at the end of Pembers Farm Avenue. (There would be a large void/area between the two connecting roads). I also object as there is the alternative option for Mrs Cooper to arrange alternative access (with Mr Cooper which would involve only those two parties and cause no disruption to the many families who now live on Pembers Farm Housing Estate and could be done legally as an easement, which would allow Mrs Cooper the direct access she requires and I am surprised this was not agreed at the time of their divorce). I also object as there is no guarantee that the road will be cleaned after an agricultural vehicle leaves the field and could create slippery/muddy road surfaces (section 148 highways act).
Ryan Smith	5 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	I am writing to formally object to the planning application. I acknowledge the importance of development and progress, I strongly believe that this particular project poses significant concerns in terms of safety, noise and air pollution, and the destruction of wildlife.

		1. Safety Concerns: The proposed development fails to adequately address safety considerations, which are crucial for the well-being of the community. The lack of proper infrastructure planning, such as traffic management and pedestrian safety measures, raises concerns about potential accidents and hazards to both residents and visitors. It is imperative that safety measures, including proper road design, adequate lighting, and appropriate signage, be thoroughly assessed and incorporated into the project plan. 2. Noise and Air Pollution: The proposed development's potential impact on noise and air pollution levels is an alarming concern. The increased vehicular traffic, construction activities, and industrial operations associated with the project are likely to result in elevated noise levels that will disrupt the tranquility of the surrounding residential areas. Additionally, the release of harmful pollutants into the air from construction machinery, traffic emissions, and other activities could have adverse effects on the health and well-being of residents and wildlife in the vicinity. 3. Destruction of Wildlife: The project's proposed site is known for its diverse wildlife and natural habitat. The development, if approved, would lead to the destruction of crucial ecosystems and disrupt the natural balance of the area. The loss of habitat, nesting grounds, and foraging areas could have severe consequences for the local wildlife population, including endangered species. It is essential that the environmental impact of the project on wildlife and their habitats be thoroughly assessed and alternative measures be considered to mitigate any potential harm. In light of these concerns, I kindly request that the planning application be reconsidered and thoroughly reviewed. It is crucial that the planning department takes into account the potential safety risks, noise and air pollution, and the preservation of wildlife in making a decision regarding this application. Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will carefully consider the objections raised and take appropriate action to ensure the well-being of the community and the preservation of our natural environment.
Eleanor Whitton	16 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	I object to this application for a number of reasons, outlined below: 1. Landowner had application approved in 2019 for alternative access on Mortimers Lane. 2. Pembers Farm Avenue is a heavily residential area with a number of children running around; adding unnecessary farm traffic will provide potential danger to live through traffic collisions. You only need to visit the estate on a summers day and you will see numerous young children playing on the estate on bikes and scooters, something that should be encouraged not discouraged. The park is due to be completed soon, which is likely to encourage more children onto the estate to play. 3. Agricultural vehicles would still need to turn left onto the one way system at the end of Chislebourne Lane before entering the proposed new gates, if the one way finished

		further back into Chislebourne Lane it would still cause visibility issues when leaving the one way to turn right onto Pembers Farm Avenue. The same would apply for vehicles turning right at the end of Pembers Farm Avenue. (There would be a large void/area between the two connecting roads). 4. I also object as there is the alternative option for Mrs Cooper to arrange alternative access (with Mr Cooper which would involve only those two parties and cause no disruption to the many families who now live on Pembers Farm Housing Estate and could be done legally as an easement, which would allow Mrs Cooper the direct access she requires and I am surprised this was not agreed at the time of their divorce) 5. The dust and debris caused by farm vehicles will have a detrimental affect on the beauty and appearance of the estate which the residents take great pride in. The answer given in Mrs Coopers application does not state nor guarantee that the road will be cleaned after an agricultural vehicle leaves the field and could create slippery/muddy road surfaces (section 148 highways act). It reads that she will be relying on Eastleigh Borough Council to clean the roads during routine highway maintenance. This is therefore putting more pressure on EBC. Regards, Eleanor Whitton 16 Chislebourne Lane, Fair Oak
Sarah Baird	8 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	I object to this application due to common sense that putting access into an agricultural area via a housing estate is clearly unsafe and dangerous to children and any other person accessing pembers farm Avenue or the adjoining park. It would compromise the safety to young children playing on the estate on bikes and scooters, the park is due to be completed this December which is likely to encourage more children onto the estate to play. I also object as agricultural vehicles would still need to turn left onto the one way system at the end of Chislebourne Lane before entering the proposed new gates, if the one way finished further back into Chislebourne Lane it would still cause visibility issues when leaving the one way to turn right onto Pembers Farm Avenue. The same would apply for vehicles turning right at the end of Pembers Farm Avenue. (There would be a large void/area between the two connecting roads). I also object as there is the alternative option for Mrs Cooper to arrange alternative access (with Mr Cooper which would involve only those two parties and cause no disruption to the many families who now live on Pembers Farm Housing Estate and could be done legally as an easement, which would allow Mrs Cooper the direct access she requires and I am surprised this was not agreed at the time of their divorce). I also object as there is no guarantee that the road will be cleaned after an agricultural vehicle leaves the field and could create slippery/muddy road surfaces (section 148 highways act). I feel really strongly that this is detrimental to the housing estates safety and would like to voice my objection.
Karen Markiewicz	6 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	I have lived here for three years and tolerated the noise and dust from heavy vehicles using the site whilst the site is completed. We moved here for a more peaceful life and I strongly object to heavy farm machinery or vehicles being given access via our quiet road. If this was in the original plans then we may not have decided to move here. I have concerns over safety for children playing in the park which

		once finished will be next to the road in question. I also have concerns and object over safety issues and do not think this is viable.
Scott Jones	4 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Dear Sir/Madam, I am writing to formally object to planning application PN/23/96630, specifically concerning the proposed access from Pembers Farm Avenue to the agricultural field. This revised application does not alter the premise of the original application in so much that heavy agricultural machinery will still need to access a purely residential area housing many young children and containing a children's play area which is nearing completion adding to the risk of injury. As the UK strives for net zero, introducing heavy polluting diesel vehicles into a residential area makes no sense whatsoever particularly to children who may suffer from bronchial and asthmatic conditions. Heavy farm vehicles by the nature of their modus operandi leave mud and debris on the road there is no reference to how this would be cleaned and even if there was who would monitor that said cleaning was taking place. I don't believe that the local authority would take on this responsibility which no doubt would lead to antagonism with the operator of the vehicles. I also object as there is the option for Mrs Cooper to arrange alternative access with Mr Cooper which would involve only those two parties and cause no disruption to several hundred families who now live on Pembers Farm Housing Estate; I am surprised this was not agreed at the time of their divorce or at least since the Pembers Farm development application. Then inability of a previously married couple/business partners to come to a sensible agreement should not be at the expense of the several hundred households living on the residential estate. Please accept my objections as objective, logical comments based on the fact that this planning application will add unnecessary health, safety and environmental risks to the hundreds of householders living here. Kind regards.
Mel Greensmith	15 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	This application is basically the same as the previous one. It's a ridiculous idea to attempt to have agricultural access so close to a children's play park. Without a shadow of a doubt an injury or fatality would happen to a child. The mess on the road and noise caused by the vehicles at busy times would not be suitable for a badly lit new housing development.
Sophie Doherty	8 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	Dear Madam I am writing to formally object to planning application PN/23/96630, specifically concerning the proposed access from Pembers Farm Avenue to the agricultural field. It is noted that this is the third such application for Pembers Hill Farm, purporting to create road access to agricultural land via a main road on what is a residential estate. Notwithstanding that this amendment contradicts the original planning for the residential estate anyways, it is, on the face of it, just another attempt to open up land for future development (which buyers at Pembers Hill Park were continually assured prior to purchase was not on the

		cards for this area). The submission letter suggests that despite the partnership/business relationship between Mr and Mrs Cooper coming to an end in 2018, Mrs Cooper has been content to allow the currently functioning system of access/farming to continue. She has now suddenly and inexplicably decided that she must have separate access to her land. We would urge you to ask why access concerns were not raised at the time of the proposal made in relation to the Pembers Hill Park/Farm development in 2015, given that any future impact on her access would have been obvious at the time. At the very least, one would have expected something to have taken place in 2018, when that partnership came to an end. Mr Barker's attempts to downplay the effects that such access would have on this estate are entirely disingenuous. For example, at Page 4 of his letter and in relation to the agricultural activities/visits to site, Mr Barker states that: Yet it is apparent that this is only a snippet of information which has been cherry-picked - when one turns to the I-Transport report dated 8th December 2023, at point 4.7, it can be seen that on 12th Oct 2023, the following information was provided to EBC:- Despite Mr Barker's assertions to the contrary, there will clearly be an impact on noise, smells, pollution and infrastructure, as well as a danger to the safety of people/pets on the estate, particularly young children who play outside regularly (and this will only increase once the central green/play area on Pembers Farm Avenue has been completed by the developer). In addition, the land to which access is requested is blessed with beautiful wildlife, which will be disrupted and destroyed. Even if future development/overdevelopment is not yet proposed, it is then completely open to Mrs Cooper to increase farming activities beyond the scope that is intimated in the current application. We would therefore ask you to please take this objection into account when considering the application. Kind Regards Sophie Doherty 8 Lodge Walk SO50 7QQ
Sue Beasley	6 Gore Copse Drive Fair Oak Eastleigh Hampshire SO50 7QT	Attention of: Dawn Errington, The above application number is sited at Pembers Farm Avenue, off Mortimers Lane in Fair Oak, Eastleigh. I believe this application has been bought up before and rejected. As a resident of this new development I would liked to voice my concerns regarding farm vehicles using this potential access, when there is already 1? Also there are many children living on the development and pets who would be at risk if large noisy farm vehicles start frequenting the main road through the estate?! Not to mention the debris/mud and noise coming from these

		vehicles. The fields off Pembers Farm Avenue are inhabited by wild deer and their freedom would be compromised if farm vehicles were common place around the area. Regards, Sue Beasley
Paul Scullard	15 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	Safety concerns include traffic and risk of heavy machinery, farm traffic in the estate whilst children are playing and dog walker's to consider. Also concerns of noise, dirt and pollution. The estate is only just coming to fruition and the chance for all homeowners to be settled with NO heavy transport vehicles on site.
Alex D'antona	1 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	The questions which were answered do not resolve the problems which I and many other residents have raised.
Gordon Shinn	11 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	This revised application, following the withdrawal of application no.96033, seeks to address the concerns raised relating to that previous application. Whilst it does provide more information and mitigation measures, it does not fully address all of the issues. There are also inconsistencies and areas where further clarification is required. My submission is that, should the proposed access changes be approved, then there should be restrictions applied. When purchasing our house, we were given assurances that the site would be 'self-contained' i.e. no through access other than within the residential area. It is difficult to understand why this gate access issue was not addressed as part of the Pembers Hill Farm development planning and design process, rather than waiting for the development to be completed before submitting this application. The letter refers to this issue regarding agricultural access becoming apparent "during the development process". However, it does not state when exactly that was and why, therefore, prospective purchasers were not made aware. The submission letter refers to "total, yearly vehicle movements would equate to circa 97 visits , or on average 1 to 2 visits to site per week." So this equates to very nearly 2 visits a week - about 200 actual movements per annum. This appears to be at odds with the agricultural justification report, which refers to standard weekly movements of one visit per week (2 vehicle movements); between May and August, one week of two movements per day (20 in total); and between October and January, six weeks of daily visits. It is unclear if these latter two are in addition to the weekly check visits. Nonetheless, this level of activity will inevitably cause a nuisance to local residents, especially if the busier times coincide with school holidays when there will be more children using the park and play area. With regard to the mud and dirt issue, it is noted that the roads will 'be offered for adoption and accordingly will be cleaned as part of regular highway maintenance. Has Hampshire County Council been asked if this will be done as and when there is a lot of mud or will it just be ad hoc, if done at all? Obviously it's no good if the highway maintenance is done immediately prior to a more intensive period of farm machinery movement, and then not done again for several weeks. The agricultural submission includes some inconsistencies regarding road and development names. For example, Pembers Park Avenue instead of Pembers Farm Avenue. This does lead to some confusion.

		Should this application be approved, I would strongly urge that there are conditions attached regarding the number of movements that are allowed, with any penalties issued where this is not complied with. So, for example, this would mean a maximum of one visit per week other than one week for silage or hay crop (maximum two visits) and six weeks maximum of one visit per day. I would also want assurances that advanced warning of the more intensive periods would be given to residents. Also I would want assurances regarding the maintenance programme for clearing any mess created by the farm vehicles.
Harry Fitzgerald	13 GORE COPSE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QT	I am writing to formally object to the planning application (PN/23/96630) for access to a field for agricultural equipment via the quiet new build estate at [estate name/address]. This proposal raises serious concerns for the safety and well-being of residents, particularly children playing in the nearby park. My objections are as follows: - Safety hazard: The proposed route would require tractors and other agricultural machinery to use the road adjacent to the children's park. This poses a significant safety risk to children playing in the area. Large, slow-moving vehicles maneuvering in close proximity to a park create a potential for accidents, especially with young children who may not be aware of their surroundings. - Mud and debris: Tractors and other agricultural equipment inevitably track mud and debris onto the road, creating a slippery and unpleasant environment for residents and park users. This is particularly concerning given the close proximity of the park and the potential for children to come into contact with the mud and debris. - Disturbance and nuisance: The frequent movement of agricultural machinery through the estate would generate noise and dust, disrupting the peace and quiet enjoyed by residents. This is especially concerning in a new build estate designed for families and peaceful living. - Precedence: This is the third time this application has been submitted, despite previous objections. Approving the application now would set a dangerous precedent, potentially encouraging further encroachment of agricultural activities on residential areas. I strongly urge you to reject planning application PN/23/96630. The potential safety risks and negative impacts on the quality of life for residents are simply too great to ignore. I believe there are alternative solutions available that can address the applicant's needs while protecting the safety and well-being of the community.
Emma Matthews	26 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	I am writing to object to application PN/23/96630 at Pempers Hill. I'm very concerned for the safety of my child as the heavy farm machinery will be driving straight passed what is going to be the new childrens play park. I think this is dangerous. As presents we already have to walk across a busy main road that has no clear indication that pedestrians are crossing just to get to a park and now we are faced with tractors. There's also lots of children who ride there bikes up this road and could be put them in danger. There is absolutely no need for a gate to be put in as there is already access to the field that has been used for the last few years now. I'm also under the impression that to put the gate in smalls tree and hedges will need to be removed that are home to small birds and owls that we frequently see. I find

		that hard to get my head around as EBC are currently running a "plant a tree scheme" seems odd to take out green space that just been planted!
David & Susan Chadwick	39 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	With large vehicles going through estate it will increase the chances of accidents, injuries to all living here especially children, pets and wildlife. Not to mention the added pollution, noise and mess.
Charlotte Hulson	32 SCOTLAND CLOSE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7BR	I object to this planning application as it is pretty much the same as one which I previously objected to and was denied. Farm vehicle access through a busy, highly populated housing estate is not sensible at all. There is another access route, which the proposer should investigate and negotiate the alternative route. Farm vehicles will cause danger to the residents, mess and pollution. I am not overly convinced either that this isn't another thinly veiled attempt to be able to sell off the land and whack another ton of houses up there which I whole heartedly object to anyway.
Anne Hannam	34 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	I objected when the previous application was submitted last year. I have not changed my view at all. Pembers Farm Avenue is very much a residential road which is part of a totally residential area. The road itself is not suitable for an agricultural vehicle. There is not enough width for a tractor and a parked car. Children play there. Dogs are walked both on and off the lead. Cats meander. Soil and mud will be left by the vehicles on the road which is not acceptable in a residential area. I believe that access to the agricultural area has already been granted from Mortimers Lane. Why on earth is another access required ?
	3 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	Dear Dawn 3 Keepers Drive, Fair Oak, Eastleigh, SO50 7QR In response to the above application for additional access to the adjoining farmland to the Pembers Hill Park development I would like to register my objections as a homeowner within close proximity to the planned access point. Pembers Hill Park is a quiet, friendly residential area, safe for children to play in and around the streets and pavements of. This is something we took for granted as children and as a result prepared us for later life with a valuable education in social and behavioural interactional skills, together with clear understandings of acceptable boundaries. You hear parents today screaming out for such environments for their children, what a shame it would be if this was taken away. The reality of moving agricultural equipment through a residential area brings real, increased levels of danger for pedestrians, including, and most importantly children or individuals with impediments such as low vision. I am registered blind/severely sight impaired and the thought of having these vehicles adjacent to our footpaths fills me with dread. With these observations in mind, I would offer my objections as follows: 1. Safety of residents and pedestrians where access roads within Pembers Hill Park development are not designed for the carrying of large, industrial agricultural equipment and vehicles. 2. Risk of damage to parked vehicles in Pembers Farm Avenue from the wide equipment used on the fields. 3. Environmental impact of farming vehicles and equipment in respect of mud and other agricultural substances (slurry, cinagro) on roadways and footpaths

		through the development. 4. The loss of trees/hedgerows in planned access point and the natural environmental impact on species; birds, bats, invertebrates. Thus, despite the offer to plant in the area of the existing bar gate adjacent to the planned access point. I do not see how existing habitat lost to the access development could be replaced in such a narrow area. In addition to the above specific objections for the proposed access point: • If the new access is to be used infrequently, why do they want to go to the expense of creating this new one? • If the casual access arrangement is unacceptable on legal grounds, for Mrs Cooper, why was the access point (now and previously in application 23/96078) not specifically created at the start of the Drew Smith development? • I would also ask why this current application is from Mrs Cooper when the previous, failed application was from Mr Cooper, when seemingly nothing has changed? I am of the opinion, but realising this is not a valid objection, that this access would assist the developers "Croudace Homes" in their plans for the land to the north, for residential development, for which Croudace hold an option on and therefore cast doubt over the legitimacy of the details contained in the Detailed Submission letter. Please would you consider these points in the application process. Thank you for your support. Regards Paul J Selby
Nicholas Roddis	1 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	- The access for large agricultural vehicles along a residential street poses a danger to all residents. - The access passes a large play area which poses a further danger to children - The proposed entrance contravenes the one-way system and goes against the flow of traffic at the point of entry. - The turning area is on a tight corner which poses danger to drivers and pedestrians. - Current access is already granted via Mortimers Lane and therefore, additional access is not necessary. - Debris and agricultural spoil will be transferred to the residential roads which poses a risk to drivers and pedestrians. - Noise levels will be above the current limits and many of the agricultural processes in these fields has always been undertaken through the night. - Children freely play on the estate and ride bicycles on the road and pathway. Access would pose a huge threat to the safety to all. - The wildlife disturbance would be unnecessary as access is already available. - Access would result in a large portion of new fencing to be removed. This has been in situ for a short period of time and seems such a waste of money to do so when access is already available.
David Pattison	25 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	As residents of Pembers Hill Park we wish to raise our strong objection to this proposal. The problem of independent access to the applicant's land has been known about since at least 2018 when there was plenty of time to incorporate it properly into the development. The proposal seems to be an afterthought

		which will be to the detriment of the residents and will require an alteration to the one way system. Safety – this is a real concern, particularly child safety. Contrary to statements in submitted professional letters and reports, the central issue of safety has not been addressed at all. I cannot think of a single development where access for agricultural vehicles is through the centre of a residential development. Noise and disruption – the application states that the proposed access will have limited use quoting average figures/week. Average figures are deceptive because they do not highlight periods of intensive use e.g. May to August as referred to in the HCC letter of 16 October 23. There would be times when the access is used a significant number of times per week, perhaps up to 20 and when work is carried out during the hours of darkness, as witnessed last year. The agent's letter also states that the level of activity will not increase but it absolutely will. The current access is not used so to date there has been no access though the development by farm vehicles. The current access could never have been used as demonstrated by the applicant's professionals. Mud and debris on road – this is bound to occur and it is just 'pie in the sky' to say that the road will be cleaned by the highways authority. The cleaning of estate roads by local authorities is almost non-existent so to think that a vehicle will be sent to Pembers Farm Avenue up to 97 times a year at exactly the time that mud is deposited and hay is scattered is a nonsense.
Denise Roddis	1 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	I would like to object to the proposed planning application on the following grounds: 1) There is easy access already to the field. New access to the farm directly onto Mortimer's Lane was approved in 2019. I have witnessed first hand the harvesting of the field, therefore, an additional entry is a preference rather than a necessity, thus not needed. 2) The existing gate off to the side of Pembers Farm Avenue is behind new fencing erected along with hedgerow. Therefore, this application is not simply to move an existing access, there is no existing access - so this is incorrect, and must be taken into consideration. 3) The Pembers Farm Avenue development is home to many individuals, to include children. The children play on bikes and scooters around the development. 'Children have the highest rate of machinery-related injuries and fatalities. The 2014 Childhood Agricultural Injury Survey reported 11,942 non-fatal injuries to children under the age of 20.' Access to the proposed area, puts the lives of children living on Pembers Hill Avenue at serious risk. 4) The proposed access involves tractors (large machinery) driving on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic - once more - this puts children and residents at risk of serious harm. 5) The additional mud and dust generated from industrial machinery/vehicles, can cause safety issues regarding additional slip hazards, allergens, and the current drainage system is not designed for this level of traffic. 6) The current parking system narrows access making it not suitable for tractors and trailers to access the road, not least when passing by a play park. 7) The hedgerow houses birds and differing species of bats. Bats are protected, and the noise pollution, along the destruction of the bats habitat is not prohibited as bats are protected species. 8) The proposed access is a visual pollutant.

Kwong Man Poon	20 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Hi Eastleigh Planning team (Dawn Errington), Regarding the application PN/23/96630 for agricultural access from Pembers Farm Avenue (allow for a road or track to be installed directly against the flow of oncoming traffic on PFA). I OBJECT this application with below comments. 1) Lots of children playing around everyday. Also a playground is being built on the side of PFA, means more kids and families will be using the estate facility and playing around. It definitely would cause safety issues. You may refer to the reports mentioned that kids are hit by the heavy vehicles. 2) this is a private estate for residence only, that's why we spent a lot of money buying our house in this nice and lovely environment in late 2022. it is totally unacceptable to open for heavy tractors / trucks while there are other roads to access to the farm 3) with the heavy tractors / farm trucks to travel in between, it would cause muddy road and excessive dusty and noise at Pembers Farm Avenue consequence the bad environment. It will affect health issue and respiratory system especially kids and elderly (2 of my family members who have bad respiratory issues.) 4) why to use the residential (small) road to be an access road. PFA can't afford the heavy traffic as it was originally built for residents use only. PFA can't withstand more traffic to access to. This will destroy our neighborhood and creating chaos traffic. 5) With heavy tractors / farm trucks frequently use, the road will be easily damaged they will be at high maintenance and we have to suffer, that's ridiculous. 6) We love a quiet, safe and clean area as is. we love our home. I definitely OBJECT this application. Look forward to hearing from you soon. Thank you.
YY Chow	20 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Hi Eastleigh Planning team (Dawn Errington), Regarding the application PN/23/96630 for agricultural access from Pembers Farm Avenue (allow for a road or track to be installed directly against the flow of oncoming traffic on PFA). I OBJECT this application with below comments. 1) Lots of children playing around everyday. Also a playground is being built on the side of PFA, means more kids and families will be using the estate facility and playing around. It definitely would cause safety issues. You may refer to the reports mentioned that kids are hit by the heavy vehicles. 2) this is a private estate for residence only, that's why we spent a lot of money buying our house in this nice and lovely environment in late 2022. it is totally unacceptable to open for heavy tractors / trucks while there are other roads to access to the farm

		3) with the heavy tractors / farm trucks to travel in between, it would cause muddy road and excessive dusty and noise at Pembers Farm Avenue consequence the bad environment. It will affect health issue and respiratory system especially kids and elderly (2 of my family members who have bad respiratory issues.) 4) why to use the residential (small) road to be an access road. PFA can't afford the heavy traffic as it was originally built for residents use only. PFA can't withstand more traffic to access to. This will destroy our neighborhood and creating chaos traffic. 5) With heavy tractors / farm trucks frequently use, the road will be easily damaged they will be at high maintenance and we have to suffer, that's ridiculous. 6)We love a quiet, safe and clean area as is. we love our home. I definitely OBJECT this application. Look forward to hearing from you soon. Thank you.
Wai Kit Poon	2 BELMERE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QS	There is a very private and quite estate. I don't want many strange vehicles drive over the main road
Winnie Yeung	2 BELMERE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QS	Is not safety for the people who live in this Estate , too many strangers or strange vehicles will appear around us, threaten the safety of residents
Samantha Bell	1 BELMERE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QS	I object to this application due to the safety of its residents and visitors. Heavy agriculture vehicles are not suitable on residential roads where children play. There would be visibility issues at the proposed entrance, creating unnecessary danger and it would be a nuisance to have tractors using residential roads, causing unnecessary noise & potential mud on the roads which is a safety issue. There is an alternative option for Mrs Cooper to arrange alternative access between herself & Mr Cooper.
Jonathan Miller	72 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Access to this field already exists. The roads within the Pembers Hill Farm estate are not conducive to agricultural access, being narrow and at times congested with parked cars. Pembers Farm Avenue has heavy footfall, including many young children. This is likely to increase with the addition of a childrens' playpark later this year. Despite its name, Pembers Farm Avenue is not a highway and would not easily accommodate agricultural vehicles. This proposed access would include said large vehicles driving on the wrong side of the road (straddling traffic lanes) and turning into traffic to enter the street. Addition of this proposed access would require removal of hedgerow and fencing. Given that access to this field already exists, and clearly the work of the farmer is not inhibited by the current access arrangements available to them, I see no justification to permit these additional risks to the safety of residents of the Pembers Hill Park development.
Rebecca Coombes	12 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	1. There is existing access to the farm in question via the access point on Mortimers Lane - this negates my further concerns detailed in subsequent points therefore should be considered sufficient. 2. This application severely increases risk to children and pedestrians. We are very lucky to have an existing culture

		of playing outside on this lovely new estate, and we want to maintain this. Children are frequently out on bikes and scooters, and the presence of large agricultural machinery would restrict parents willingness to allow children to play outside. We have a park planned for the green, and this should only increase playing. In this age of children becoming addicted to screens and increased levels of obesity, we should be doing everything we can to encourage children to play outside as much as possible - if Eastleigh approve this application they are putting the needs of one individual above the potential health of all children on the estate. 3. Proposed access involves agricultural machinery driving on the wrong side of the road, making a tight turn into oncoming traffic. This is dangerous as well as a nuisance to residents. 4. Mud, dust and noise will change the character of the estate 5. The sustainable drainage system has not been designed for agricultural mud. 6. Parking on PFA already means the road access can be narrow and not suited to tractors and trailers, particularly next to the new play park. 7. Impact on nature from breaking up an established hedgerow, including disturbing the roosting bars in the tree near the current unused gate location.
Andrew Cole	5 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	This application was submitted in the Autumn of 2023 and, due to the overwhelming tide of objections, was withdrawn. It is disingenuous for the applicant to resubmit so shortly afterwards. Forcing residents to repeat their objections again, presumably because they feel people will not bother a second time. Pembers Farm Avenue is a residential road and has been designed as such in accordance with National and HCC standards. The Road does not meet Industrial / agricultural access standards. Regular/uncontrolled access with Agricultural Vehicles to PFA places residents and children at danger from increased Industrial traffic which is particularly raised due to the adjacent children's play area and grass on the estate open space. Easy access already exists to the Land with new access granted in 2019. The applicants personal domestic situation has no bearing on the validity or otherwise which is mentioned in the application. The existing gate off to the side of the top of PFA is behind new fence and hedgerow. This application is NOT to simply move an existing access as there is NO existing access at the top of PFA. The proposed access involves tractors driving on the wrong side of the road. Mud/Dust/Noise \, and as an Asthma sufferer will increase spores and pollen which will effect my Health. The Road drainage system is not designed for Agricultural MUD. {Parking on the Estate is already narrow and is not suitable for Heavy Agricultural Traffic, especially next to the Childrens play area. We Object to this proposal on the most strongest of terms. Our lives are about to get normal with the end of a long overdue development construction and with renewed and on-going further disturbance/Noise/Risk is simply not acceptable.
Luciano Sehnem	18 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	This impact surround nature, Views along Pembers Farm Avenue area; also the easy access to the field ALREADY exists (and was approved back in 2019); additionally, This poses danger to Children and Pedestrians in the Community; Proposed Access involves TRACTORS driving on the Wrong Side of the road to make a turn into the path of oncoming traffic; and finally, the parking on PFA already means the road access can be narrow and

		not suited for Tractors and Trailers; TOTALLY OBJECT this Proposal
Andrea Mann	41 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	I object to the proposal because the land has not needed to be accessed via this route for the past five years whilst the building has been progressing and the damage to the hedgerows is not justifiable not to mention the disturbance to all local wildlife. I feel that there is a certain danger of farm vehicles using Pembers Farm Avenue 1-2 times a week when this area has many children who play near to the road on the children's play area. There will also be debris left on the road from the tractor tyres which could affect the braking capability of other vehicles especially in the wet.
Lad'ka ISZATT	31 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	I most vehemently object to this application. Reasons are stated in No.4), No.5), No.6), No.7), No.8) and the rest. This estate has not been built to convenience farmers and their loaded tractors and trailers, traffic of which would in time damage the pavements and the roads; the maintenance of these is paid by residents of the estate. They would find trailers trundling past their windows totally unacceptable. Extremely bad idea,
Sharon Burden	65 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Objection reasons: Danger to children and pedestrians. The size, poor manoeuvrability and visibility of agricultural vehicles increases the likelihood of serious accident / injury / fatalities of pedestrians. Also likelihood of collisions with street furniture and parked vehicles causing damage. The estate roads are not designed for heavy agricultural vehicles and machinery. Therefore there is increased likelihood that such vehicles will cause increased wear and tear and damage to the road surface and services. Increased noise and vibration being a nuisance along with mud and dust. Contamination of mud transferred onto road surfaces / pavements during wet weather conditions increases the likelihood of slippery surfaces and dangerous road conditions. This contamination could overwhelm and contaminate the surface drainage systems. Dust clouds caused by agricultural vehicles in dry conditions can have adverse health effects, particularly for children and the elderly.
Margarita Porubaimeha	8 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	Dear Planning Officer, I am writing to formally object to the planning application referenced above, currently under consideration by Eastleigh Borough Council. As a resident of Keeoers drive, I am concerned about the significant impact this proposed development will have on our community and environment. 1. Inappropriate Access Changes: Firstly, I wish to address the proposed access changes. There is already suitable access to the field, approved in 2019, which adequately serves current needs. The proposed new access point is unnecessary and misleadingly presented as a mere relocation of an existing access, which is not the case. 2. Safety Concerns for Children and Pedestrians: The introduction of farm traffic into residential areas, such as Pembers Farm avenue, poses a significant safety risk. Our streets are frequented by children playing, cycling, and scootering. Increased heavy vehicle traffic will undoubtedly elevate the risk of accidents and injuries, which is unacceptable.

		3. Traffic and Road Safety Issues: The proposed access route could force agricultural vehicles to navigate in a manner unsuitable for residential roads, including driving on the wrong side. This presents a clear hazard to oncoming traffic and residents. 4. Environmental and Aesthetic Impact: The development will lead to environmental issues such as increased mud, dust, and noise which are inconsistent with the current character of our estate. Moreover, the sustainable drainage system in place may not be equipped to handle the additional strain. 5. Road Congestion and Suitability: The roads in Pembers hill park, already narrowed by parking, are not designed for tractor and trailer traffic. This is especially concerning near the about to be established children's play park, where safety and accessibility are paramount. 6. Impact on Wildlife and Natural Habitats: The planned removal of hedgerows would disrupt established wildlife habitats, particularly affecting the roosting bats in the area. This not only diminishes biodiversity but also impacts the natural beauty and ecological balance of our community. 7. Loss of Scenic Value: The removal of hedgerows will negatively impact the scenic view along Pembers Farm Avenue, Chislebourne lane and Keepers drive, which is valued by the community. The loss of this natural landscape feature detracts from the visual appeal and character of the area. While I understand the need for development, it should not be at the expense of the safety, environmental integrity, and quality of life of existing residents. I urge the planning department to consider these objections seriously and reassess the potential impact of this proposed development on our community. Thank you for your attention to this matter. Yours sincerely, Margarita Porubaimeha
Sean Campbell	8 GORE COPSE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QT	Dangerous, dusty and dirty roads. The road is not suitable for these large vehicles. There's wildlife in the fields and hedgerow, apart from birds nest there bats etc.
Chloe Callaghan	41 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	Easy access to the field already exists. New access to the farm directly onto Mortimers Lane was approved in 2019.
Emma Adam	53 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	I strongly object to the recently submitted planning application for the proposed agricultural vehicle access in our area.
Peter Holliday	6 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	Dear Sir/Madam, PN/23/96630 We would like to register our objection to the proposal to create agricultural vehicle access at the top of Pembers Farm Avenue to the adjacent field. Our concerns are:

		1. Safety: Having lived at Pembers Hill Park since December 2022, it is clear that there are many residents with young families (and the number continues to grow as more houses are finished and occupied). Children often play on Pembers Farm Avenue and the surrounding residential roads on bikes and scooters. It is an unsuitable environment through which to route farm vehicles and machinery. We also note that the proposed route would entail entrance to the field counter to the current one way system. 2. Environment: Much modern farm equipment is very large and heavy. It can also be noisy and, particularly in the summer months, operated late into the evening. The regular passage of such farm equipment through what is a quiet residential area would detrimentally impact on the local environment in terms of noise, dust and mud. 3. Lack of need: The fields adjacent to the Pembers Hill Park appear to be well maintained and worked without the proposed access and we envisage that this could continue without granting of this application. We understand that there are already two useable access points to the land concerns and we do not see a good reason why another should be put in place, particularly from such an unsuitable access road. 4. Local plan credibility: If Eastleigh is to continue to grow and prosper, it is essential to ensure that responses to planning applications are consistent with the strategic framework the local authority has put in place through agreement of its Local Plan. For us, and possibly other Pembers Hill Park residents, the decision to move to the area was informed by what appeared to be a carefully considered and consistent plan for the local area, which sees Pembers Hill Park as a high-quality residential development giving way to the existing farm land which itself provides a suitable green area adjacent to the South Downs National Park to the North East. We believe that to now route farm vehicles through an area that has for so long been clearly designated for residential development would undermine the strategic intent and rationale of the Local Plan, undermining the confidence of current and future residents and businesses in the Council's ability to protect and guide considered and proportionate development of our local environment. Yours faithfully, Peter and Lucy Holliday
Mark Burden	65 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	The size, poor manoeuvrability and visibility of agricultural vehicles increases the likelihood of collisions with street furniture, parked vehicles and pedestrians causing damage and potential fatalities. The estate roads are not designed for heavy agricultural vehicles and machinery, so there is increased likelihood that such vehicles will cause increased wear and tear and damage to the road surface and services. Increased noise and vibration would be caused by agricultural vehicles, causing a nuisance.

		Contamination of mud transferred onto road surfaces / pavements during wet weather conditions increases the likelihood of slippery surfaces and dangerous road conditions. The soil transferred onto the road could also overwhelm and contaminate surface drainage systems, which are not designed to cope with such materials. Dust clouds caused by agricultural vehicles in dry conditions can have adverse health effects particularly for children and the elderly.
Matthew Cheung	7 BELMERE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QS	I would like to OBJECT to this application due to safety reason. As a residential property in here, It should be safe for children to play around without any large vehicles pass though. If this is the extra access point to the farm with seldom to use, I cannot see any benefits on both side but spending extra resources to build the road and extra cleaning due to muds carried by the vehicle from the farm. It is hard for me not to think about the real purpose of this application. According to this application, I cannot see any difference with previous application and it still cannot solve the concern we have. Finally, I would like to keep the original planning of this estate and object to this application.
Kevin Kinghorn	11 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	I would like to object to the proposed planning application on the following grounds: 1. The Pembers Hill Park estate is home to many individuals, including children and young adults. The children play on bikes and scooters around the development. 'Children have the highest rate of machinery-related injuries and fatalities. The 2014 Childhood Agricultural Injury Survey reported 11,942 non-fatal injuries to children under the age of 20.' Proposed access to the agricultural land, puts the lives of children living on Pembers Hill Park estate at serious risk from the proposed agricultural vehicles. In addition, the children's play park under construction on Pembers Farm Avenue will attract increased pedestrian traffic on and around Pembers Farm Avenue, putting local users at greater risk from the proposed agricultural traffic. These agricultural vehicle movements pose a significant and avoidable threat to children on the estate. 2. The "existing access" is behind fencing erected along the roadside, with a hedgerow. Therefore, this application is not simply to move an existing access, there is no existing access - so this is incorrect, and must be taken into consideration. 3. The proposed access involves tractors (large machinery) driving on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic - once more - this puts children and residents at risk of serious harm. 4. The additional mud and dust generated from industrial machinery/vehicles, can cause safety issues regarding additional slip hazards (vehicles and pedestrians), allergens, and the current drainage system is not designed for this level of traffic. It's also worth noting that as of 16th Jan 2024, the estate roads are not publically maintained, according to hants.gov.uk. Commercial users must be responsible for their waste and costs of cleaning. The waste water management company, Icosa, may have valuable input on the impact of agricultural waste such as mud, dust, crops etc on the waste/surface water management system and subsequent potential flooding. 5. Road use on Pembers Farm Avenue narrows

		access, making it unsuitable for tractors and trailers to access the road, not least when passing by a play park. 6. The impact on nature from breaking up an established hedgerow and disturbing the roosting bats in the tree near the current unused access location. 7. The proposed access is a visual pollutant. In addition to the above specific objections to the proposed access point: - At the bottom of page 5, the Submission Letter states “the level of activity will not be increased” in relation to traffic through the access points. There is currently no usable access to the farm land from the Pembers Hill Park estate, there are no vehicle movements on the estate in relation to this land, therefore, to maintain a zero increase, there would need to be zero vehicle movements in the future. This is not what is stated in the application submitted. - If the casual access arrangement is unacceptable on legal grounds, for Mrs Cooper, why was the access point (now and previously in application 23/96078) not specifically created at the start of the Drew Smith development? - I would also ask why this current application is from Mrs Cooper when the previous, withdrawn application was from Mr Cooper, when seemingly nothing has changed? I’m unclear on the relevance to residents on the previous commercial and marital relationships between Mrs & Mr Cooper. This access issue would appear to be a commercial arrangement that should have been considered between Mrs & Mr Cooper, not an issue for Pembers Hill Park residents. Perhaps mediation would be a positive way forward for Mrs & Mr Cooper, to establish the required access across existing agricultural land.
Carole Lang'at-Fortune	45 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	The existing gate off to the side at the top of the PFA is behind new fencing and hedgerow. This application is not simply to move an existing access as there is no current existing access to PFA. I also do not appreciate the idea of mud, dust and noise all changing the character of the estate & the sustainable drainage system had not been designed for agricultural mud!
Emma Dollery	44 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	This will be a danger to pedestrians and the ample amount of children living on the estate, which is completely unnecessary due to the fact there is already easy access to the field.
Jenny Selby	3 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	Dear Dawn Aware of the recent release of details surrounding the application for additional access to the adjoining farmland to the Pembers Hill Park development I would like to register my objections and comments, as a homeowner and resident of 3 Keepers Drive, Fair Oak, Eastleigh, SO50 7QR. Moving agricultural equipment through a residential area would increase the levels of danger to pedestrians, including, and most importantly children. Pembers Hill Park is a quiet, family friendly residential area, safe for children to cycle, skate and scoot around the streets and pavements. Why should we deny these children this safe environment by introducing heavy tractors and agricultural equipment to our roads. So, specifically I object on the following grounds: Safety of children and other pedestrians.

		Agricultural equipment and children do not mix. 2. Potential damage to parked vehicles in Pembers Farm Avenue from the wide equipment used on the fields. With vehicles already parking outside the visitor and loading bays road widths are narrowed. 3. Environmental impact of farming vehicles and equipment with mud and other agricultural substances on roadways and footpaths through the development. Who would clean this up! 4. The loss of trees/hedgerows in planned access point and the natural environmental impact on species; birds, bats, invertebrates. Removing the existing bar gate will not compensate for the loss created by the new access and would take years to establish. I would also ask: • Why such an expensive outlay for access, which is required for infrequent use when, infrequent use access has been appropriate between both Mrs and Mr Cooper for some time now? • Why is Mrs Cooper now making the application for the same plans as those that were withdrawn by Mr Cooper? Thank you for your support. Regards Jenny L Selby
Hon Chuen Yau	6 BELMERE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QS	1. This is a residential estate that lot of children are playing and cycling. It put their safety in danger. 2. The road is narrow and many cars park along the street. It is not suitable for tractors & trailers moving around.
Christian Crabb	67 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	1. Easy access to the field already exists. New access to the farm directly on to Mortimers Lane was approved in 2019. 2. The existing gate off to the side at the top of the PFA is behind New fencing and hedgerow. This application is not to simply move an existing access as there is no current existing access at the top of PFA. 3. Danger to children & pedestrians. Children play, cycle and scooter in the streets, so increase the risk of injury and serious accidents. 4. The proposed access involves tractors driving on the wrong side of the road, to make a right turn in to the path of on coming traffic. 5. Mud, dust and noise all changing the character of the estate. The sustainable drainage system has not been designed for agricultural mud. 6. The parking on PFA already means the road access can be narrow and not suited to tractors and trailers, especially next to the new kids play park. 7. The impact on nature from breaking an established hedge row and disturbing the roosting bats in the tree, near to the currently unused gate location. 8. Negatively impacting the view along the PFA with the loss of hedge row.
Kerry Goss	36 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	I wish to object for the following reasons: 1 - There will be an impact on nature; breaking up an established hedgerow and disturbing the roosting bats in the tree which is near the current unused gate location. 2 - Easy direct access to the field already exists. Direct access was approved in 2019 from Mortimers Lane.

		3 - The proposed access will involve tight access for tractors which will need to drive on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic. 4 - It will be a danger to children and pedestrians. Children play, cycle and scooter. Farm vehicles on these streets increase the risk of serious accidents and injury. 5 - The parking on Pembers Farm Avenue already means road access can be narrow and is not suited to tractors and trailers, especially next to the new children's play park. 6 - Mud, dust and noise changing then character of the estate and the impact on the sustainable drainage system which hasn't been designed for agriculture mud.
Jonathan Andrews	7 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	Easy access to the field already exists. New access to the farm directly on to Mortimers Lane was approved in 2019. So why is this new access required? The existing gate off to the side at the top of Pembers Farm Avenue (PFA) is behind new fencing and hedgerow. This application is not to simply move an existing access as there is no current existing access at the top of PFA. Danger to children & pedestrians. Children play, cycle & scooter in the streets. Farm vehicles on these streets increase the risk of serious accidents and injury. The proposed access involves tractors driving on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic. Mud, dust and noise would all change the character of the estate, and the sustainable drainage system has not been designed for agricultural mud. The parking situation on PFA already means the road access can be narrow and definitely not suited to tractors and trailers; especially next to the new planned kids' play park. The impact on nature from breaking up an existing hedgerow and disturbing the roosting bats in the tree near the current unused gate location. Negatively impacting the view along PFA with the loss of hedgerow.
Emily Halford	2 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	Please see my objection below to the planning application PN/23/96033: Access points - There is currently a suitable access point on Mortimers Lane going directly into the applicants' field. We see tractors on the field frequently which demonstrates that there is no issue in accessing already. There are also other access points! - The proposed entry point is dangerous due to the angle of entry, having to slightly enter a one-way street. Children's play park - There is going to be a children's play park right in the middle of Pembers Farm Avenue ... surely this is inviting a fatal accident with large vehicles going up and down the road? What safety measures will be put into place to ensure our children are not mown down? Pembers Farm Avenue - This is the only the road through the development that we all use at present. Increasing the traffic is increasing the danger and risk to all residents, especially children. It also increases the risk to vehicles parked alongside the road. - Who will be responsible for cleaning the wet agricultural products on the streets, and maintaining the state of the roads? Heavy agricultural vehicles will cause wear and tear. - This will have a direct impact on house prices.

		Noise and Air Pollution - This access will have a dramatic effect on the noise and air pollution within the street. This will have a direct impact on our quality of life - including increased stress levels, sleep disturbances, and reduced overall well-being. Wildlife/Domestic pets - What about the impact that this will have on wildlife in the area? The current developer specifically designed green areas to encourage wildlife. - This increased traffic (by huge vehicles) will have a direct impact on families as there is an increased risk to our animals' safety. People bought these houses away from busy roads to ensure their pets were at less risk. Mortimers Lane is a perfect example of the danger we have avoided - having heard of at least 4 cats that have been killed (in the 30mph limit) over the last 12 months. This access point is completely unnecessary and unsafe. If we knew this was going to turn into a through road for agricultural use we would have reconsidered buying our house. Emily Halford - 2 Lodge Walk
Lorraine Oade	2 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	My understanding is that the applicants have applied to get access directly through Pembers Farm Avenue (for agricultural use) to their land. This is something I strongly object to for the following reasons: •There is a perfectly big enough access point on Mortimers Lane that goes directly into the field in question. This gate is more than suitable for safe agricultural access. There are also other access points for them to use which are already used for agricultural vehicles. •There is going to be a children's play park right in the middle of Pembers Farm Avenue ... surely this is inviting a fatal accident with large vehicles going up and down the road? •Pembers Farm Avenue is the only main road through the development and increasing the traffic is increasing the danger and risk to all residents, especially children and pets. •The Health And Safety Executive published that 23 people were killed by tractors in the last 5 years. Why would you put all of us here at risk knowing this? •If it is going to be used solely for agricultural use ... who will be cleaning the streets as they are guaranteed to be making large amounts of mess? Is this something residents will now have to pay extra for? This will cause substantial wear and tear, will the farmer be liable for the cost of fixing damage and cleaning the road? •The proposed entry point is dangerous due to the angle of entry, having to slightly enter a one-way street. •Why is this access now required when there hasn't been a need for it before due to having suitable access to their land already? It is evident that there is no problem in accessing at present as we see tractors on the field already.

		•What about everybody who has paid £500,000+ to live in a quiet street? This affects the house prices - it's not just an access point. The knock on effect is huge! •What about noise and air pollution? The residents of this development have paid a large sum of money for their house - this again is not something we would have agreed to on purchasing the house as this road was never meant to be a busy road. •I hope that you will take in to consideration the residents mental health and the stress and anxiety this may cause us here. •If this is something that has been in the pipeline why was it not listed in the details when we bought our house last year? If we knew this was going to turn into a through road, we wouldn't have bought our house.
Emily Halford	2 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	Please see my objection below to this planning application: Access points - There is currently a suitable access point on Mortimers Lane going directly into the applicants' field. We see tractors on the field frequently which demonstrates that there is no issue in accessing already. There are also other access points! - The proposed entry point is dangerous due to the angle of entry, having to slightly enter a one-way street. Children's play park - There is going to be a children's play park right in the middle of Pembers Farm Avenue ... surely this is inviting a fatal accident with large vehicles going up and down the road? What safety measures will be put into place to ensure our children are not mown down? Pembers Farm Avenue - This is the only the road through the development that we all use at present. Increasing the traffic is increasing the danger and risk to all residents, especially children. - Who will be responsible for cleaning the wet agricultural products on the streets, and maintaining the state of the roads? Heavy agricultural vehicles will cause wear and tear. - This will have a direct impact on house prices. Noise and Air Pollution - This access will have a dramatic effect on the noise and air pollution within the street. This will have a direct impact on our quality of life - including increased stress levels, sleep disturbances, and reduced overall well-being. Wildlife/Domestic pets - What about the impact that this will have on wildlife in the area? The current developer specifically designed green areas to encourage wildlife. - This increased traffic (by huge vehicles) will have a direct impact on families as there is an increased risk to our animals' safety. People bought these houses away from busy roads to ensure their pets were at less risk. Mortimers Lane is a perfect example of the danger we have avoided - having heard of at least 4 cats that have been killed (in the 30mph limit) over the last 12 months.

		This access point is completely unnecessary and unsafe. If we knew this was going to turn into a through road for agricultural use we would have reconsidered buying our house.
Thomas Latham	35 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	
Kayleigh Richardson	27 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	The proposed gate is 4.2 metres however the road that access would be gained from is a single carriageway which is approx 3.6metres in width. This raises the question as to whether that road is actually suitable for the proposed vehicles and useage. The access would mean that the vehicles would be contravening The Road Traffic Act as there is currently no entry and a one way system. Even if these signs were moved further back the proposed traffic would still be meeting vehicles head on, on a single carriageway. This is dangerous and risk of serious accidents/injury. The proposed vehicular use would increase noise in the area and as farming is usually an early hours occupation this would mean that there would also be an increase in noise during unsocial hours. The proposed vehicles would also create mud and debris on the roads however there is no proposition to ensure this is cleaned or maintained. Mud/debris on road surfaces increases risks of road collisions. The roads and drainage were not designed for such use. The new gate woud also mean removal of hedges, trees, fencing etc in order to create a gate. The proposed vehicles that would use the gate also have increased stopping distance then the current cars and small goods vehicles that use the road. This is of concern as the road that would be used contains homes with young families and animals and would aso be passing a childrens play park. Children would be at greater risk as they often have balls that run out into the road or they play on bikes and scooters around the area. So in the unfortunate event that a child was to run into the road the size and weight of the vehicle would cause serious injury in comparison to the current vehicle use. Access already exists for the field on Mortimers Lane which was approved back in 2019. The estate is currently a residential rural area where people leisurely walk their dogs, cycle, run, etc. The dynamics of this estate would be changed with the proposed access and use as it would not be as safe to do so. There is currently parking on both sides of Pembers Farm Avenue meaning passing of agricultural vehicles would be narrowed.
Gavin Long	29 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	Dear Dawn, I would like to strongly object to the application PN/23/96630 to open agricultural access at the northern

		end of Pembers Farm Avenue. I am objecting to this on all the same grounds as the previous attempt to submit this application at the end of last year as it appears nothing has changed about the application. Regardless of the further information in the submission letter, the facts remain that Pembers Farm Avenue and the Pembers Hill development were not designed and therefore are not appropriate for agricultural access and vehicles. Pembers Hill is a quiet development with many young families with children who play in all the surrounding roads and many dog walkers etc. With a play park area being planned for just beside Pembers Farm Avenue which will mean young children crossing the road and being in close proximity, the idea of large agricultural machinery and vehicles traveling up and down the road is absurd. The submission states this is not a new access but just a reposition so will not cause any further agricultural traffic within the development but this is just untrue. The existing gate is behind hedgerows and trees with no road access so is completely redundant meaning we currently have zero agricultural vehicles traveling through the estate. Even one visit would be an increase so to say there will not be an increase is an outright lie. Any use let alone multiple uses per day in peak times would be very unwelcome. Agricultural vehicles travelling backwards and forwards through the development will obviously cause dirt and debris on the roads with mud etc. The submission letter states the owners intend on relying on the roads being adopted and cleaned by Eastleigh borough council so they obviously have no intention to do anything themselves about keeping the developments road clean and in good order. Currently that is paid for by the residents as a service charge so the responsibility for keeping the roads safe and clean behind the agricultural vehicles should fall to us until the roads are adopted, if indeed they are? Surely that can't be correct? As I live in one of the houses closest to proposed access I cannot see how it would be deemed safe from a highway point of view. The vehicles will be turning into oncoming traffic on a one way road. Add in some mud and debris, then some rain maybe, the road is going to potentially be slippery and dangerous with large oncoming agricultural vehicles going the wrong way down the road. The whole idea is unbelievable. So in summary, potential damage to roads and drainage not designed for said use, danger to pedestrians, children and pets. An increase in noise and dirt pollution with no plan to control. Damage to wildlife and ecology and the general feeling of the quiet peaceful development. All because of a marital situation between two people that have been divorced for 20 years and now expect all of the many residents of Pembers Hill Park to suffer because of it. Once again, I strongly object to this application.
Sarah El-Heis	4 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	I am very concerned about the implications of this application and strongly object to it. Safety to pedestrians particularly children is my major concern. Children often cycle and play on Members Farm Avenue (PFA) and this

		is not unexpected especially with the play park central to this road. It is unclear why new access is needed as easy access to the field already exists (direct into Mortimer's lane approved in 2019). The application is not to simply move access as there is no current access to PFA. It is also highly likely that the tractors will have to drive on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn. The estate will be significantly affected by mud, dust and noise which will be problematic for the residents. Additionally, it will disturb the view along PFA with the loss of hedgerow, impacting the roosting bats in the tree near the current unused gate.
R and L Shuker	30 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	I am writing to object to this planning application for a multitude to reasons. My reasons to object are as follows: 1) Easy access to the field already exists & Mrs Cooper should seek the necessary easements from her ex-husband or from Hall Lands Farm to ensure agricultural access to agricultural land. 2) Tractors and trailers driving down a residential estate with young children playing, cycling & scooter in the streets. Farm vehicles on these streets increase the risk of serious accidents and injury. 3) The proposed access involves tractors driving on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic. I can't imagine Highways would support this for a moment. 4) The parking on PFA already means the road access can be narrow and not suited to tractors and trailers; especially next to the new kids' play park. 5) Mud, dust & noise from Tractors and Trailers would all change the character of the estate. 6) The sustainable drainage system has not been designed for agricultural mud & bits of hay which could block the system at expense to residents through management fees. 7) There is no current access as the existing gate is behind a new hedgerow and fence. This application is not to simply move an existing access. Access was lost as a result of the new estate and reinstated on Mortimers Lane instead. 8) The current gate is next to a tree with roosting bats. We often see them at dusk leaving their roost. 9) The impact on nature from breaking up an established hedgerow & negatively impacting the view along PFA with the loss of hedgerow.
Kent and Hanson	29 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	We are writing to object to planning proposal PN/23/96630, relating to agricultural access from Pembers Farm Avenue. We live at 29 Pembers Farm Avenue, the property closest to this proposal. We object on the following grounds: • Highway Safety: The proposed access road will add to an already poorly designed junction. As the closest property to the junction we see vehicles come into conflict here on a regular basis. The one way system is often ignored, and this application appears to encourage vehicles (including those looking to access the field) to drive against the flow of traffic. • Waiting Traffic: As the access would be for large vehicles it is not clear where they plan to wait whilst the gate is opened and closed. Presumably this is going to be

		across the junction, or directly outside our home. This will block the junction and/or access and egress to our driveway. • Safety: As this access is designed for the use of agricultural vehicles (up to 40 tonnes), this will lead to an increase in large vehicles driving through what is now a residential area. There are often young children playing outside their homes, and soon to open is a children's playpark which will require large numbers of residents to cross the road to gain access. Children and large agricultural vehicles (which often have poor visibility) do not mix. There is currently no crossing at this point. • Loss of privacy: Large vehicles accessing this field or sitting outside our home waiting to access the field will be afforded a direct view into our lounge (which has a dual aspect, including a large window facing the application site), and depending on height a view into our master bedroom. • Noise / Debris: The coming and going of large farm machines and associated vehicles is likely to lead to an increase in noise and debris. It is noted that this field is already farmed during the summer months, and appears to be used for hay production. Our garden is next to the proposed access site, and we do not wish to spend the summer listening to vehicles idling whilst they come and go from the site. • Loss of existing habitat: The existing hedgerows are well established, as are the mature trees in the hedgerow line. Any proposed replacement planting is likely to take some time to establish. We frequently see wildlife near to the hedgerows, including deer, badgers, bats and birds. This application needs to be properly debated through the appropriate means. Eastleigh Council have seriously misjudged the situation and potential impact on residents in their handling of this case and related applications, clearly seeing it as insignificant. Regards, Mr J Kent Miss M Hanson 29 Pembers Farm Avenue, SO50 7QL
Akos Sandorfi	10 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	Dear Sir/Madam, I hope this email finds you well. I am writing to formally express my strong objection to the proposed new gate access to the farmland adjacent to our estate. After careful consideration, I have identified several concerns that I believe warrant reconsideration of this proposal. 1. Existing Easy Access: The current infrastructure already provides convenient access to the field. Introducing a new gate may seem redundant and unnecessary when a functional access point is already in place. 2. Safety of Residents: The safety of residents, particularly children who play and cycle within the estate, is a paramount concern. The introduction of farm vehicles on these residential streets poses an increased risk of serious accidents and injuries. 3. Traffic Hazards: The proposed access plan, involving tractors driving on

		the wrong side of the road to execute a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic, presents a clear and avoidable traffic hazard. This poses a threat to both motorists and pedestrians within the community. 4. Environmental Impact: The introduction of farm vehicles may result in the generation of mud, dust, and noise, which could significantly alter the character of the estate. Additionally, the current sustainable drainage system may not be adequately designed to handle the introduction of agricultural mud, potentially leading to environmental issues. 5. Impact on Nature: The proposed plan includes breaking up an established hedgerow and disturbing the roosting bats in the tree near the current unused gate location. This action would have a detrimental impact on the local ecosystem, and I urge careful consideration of the environmental consequences. Considering these concerns, I kindly request that the relevant authorities thoroughly reassess the need for the new gate access and explore alternative solutions that prioritize the safety and well-being of the residents, maintain the environmental integrity of the estate, and uphold the existing infrastructure. I appreciate your attention to these matters and trust that the decision-making process will take into account the welfare of the community and the surrounding environment. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Akos Sandorfi 10 Keepers Drive Fair Oak SO50 7QR
Ian Smoughton	12 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	We would like to object to the submission made from Mrs Cook for creating access to her fields from Pembers Hill Avenue on the following basis. Safety By creating the access point into the fields via a gate at the end of PFA this would introduce greater potential risk into a residential area, where there is already an existing gate in place and utilised via Mortimers Lane, which is away from the estate reducing risk to residents. The introduction of the gate at the end of PFA would mean large agriculture vehicles travelling through a residential area, with parked cars and past a playground (to be completed in 2024) during summer months. This period will see children more likely to be outside playing both on the avenue and playground. Commitments have been made in the submissions whereby the agriculture vehicles will be slow moving. However this is not an enforceable condition in practice. Dust and mud from vehicles is another safety consideration to be addressed as it is unclear from the submissions made who has assigned responsibility to

		undertake post activity tidying up. Elapsed Time Registering Interest Mrs Cook makes reference to her business relationship ending with her former partner in 2018. Drew Smith's initial plans were submitted for review in 2018 which did not include her existing gate in the plans or a future revised access point. Mrs Cooks current submission centres upon needing access due to there being no other access points, the business relationship winding up with her partner and the need to be able to farm her land. If direct access is considered a critical commercial driver by Mrs Cook then this should have been negotiated with her business partner and her interest registered during the planning phase with Drew Smith in 2018 and an objection raised with Eastleigh Borough Council if not resolved adequately for her by the developer. Four years have elapsed from Drew Smiths development plans being raised and submitted to EBC and Mrs Cook subsequently raising her submission for gate access. This can not be construed as an acceptable timeframe in which to register a critical stakeholder requirement. Moreover there is an impact to searches conducted during our conveyancing undertaken in 2022 which were conducted on the basis of known information. Had the gate access been highlighted on the searches this would have prompted additional due diligence by us and potentially impacted our decision to proceed. Mrs Cooks failure to incorporate a satisfactory access point during Drew Smith's planning in 2018 or register the requirement during the development phase has undermined the search process. Overall the application is being objected to on the basis of increased safety risks being introduced into a residential estate and time elapsed for registering the access requirement by the applicant.
Karen Jewitt	10 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	I object to the application for an agricultural access to be created at the top of Pembers Farm avenue. This is a new residential estate and it is not acceptable for tractors and agricultural equipment to be driven straight through this estate for the following reasons: 1. There already is a dedicated access to the farm directly onto Mortimers Lane which was approved in 2019. This access does not have the dangers associated with driving through a residential area where children are at play. 2. There is danger to the children living on this estate. They play outside their homes and in the green spaces that have been created. Agricultural vehicles will pose a risk to these children who are often on the roads on their bikes and scooters. This estate is their safe area, and farming vehicles will increase the risk of serious harm to the children. 3. The proposed access is on a one way system and the farming vehicles will have to drive against the traffic in order to access the proposed gate - driving on the wrong side of the road and having to make tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic. 4. The residential roads have not been designed to cope with farming traffic and the associated amounts of farming mud and farming detritus. It is not acceptable for the residents to have to accept the increased amount of mud, dust and detritus on the residential roads with no systems in place to clean the roads. The highways will become

		responsible for cleaning the roads once adopted, and this is not acceptable. Not to mention the associated smells with farming mud. 5. There will be an increase in the level of noise from the farming vehicles at all hours. This is too excessive and intrusive. This estate has young families with babies, living here and increased noise will cause a nuisance and disruption. 6. The roads on Pembers Farm Avenue, can be narrow at times when residents and associated visitors park in the bays and on the road. The access can be narrow and are not suited to tractors and trailers, especially when passing the children's play area when children may be playing near the road. 7. There is an impact to the wildlife and local bats if the hedgerow is disturbed and broken up in order to create a new access and gate for farming vehicles. 8. The view will be affected with the loss of hedgerow.
Samantha Barrett-Edwards	1 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	I strongly object to this planning application. In my view this is not required. When the land was sold and development started in 2019 - all plans for land were agreed within that sale, if access was required to the field, it should have been stipulated within the contract. Access to this area has been granted via other means for the passed 4 years - so I do not understand how this has changed.
Amanda Barrett-Edwards	1 LODGE WALK FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QQ	Area not appropriate for agriculture vehicles. Access has not been an issue for the past few years. Why has this now changed as soon as the site had finished.
Alastaire Mordecai	40 SAMUEL JARVIS AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QN	I am writing to express my objections to the proposed planning application for several reasons. My objections are outlined below: 1. There is already convenient access to the field, and Mrs. Cooper should secure the necessary easements from her ex-husband or Hall Lands Farm to ensure agricultural access to agricultural land. 2. The presence of tractors and trailers on a residential estate where young children play, cycle, and scoot increases the risk of serious accidents and injuries. The proposed access involves tractors driving on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into oncoming traffic, a situation that Highways is unlikely to support. 3. Parking on PFA already narrows road access, making it unsuitable for tractors and trailers, particularly near the new children's play park. 4. Tractors and trailers would introduce mud, dust, and noise, altering the character of the estate and damage existing drainage system. 5. The proposed sustainable drainage system is not designed for agricultural mud and debris, which could lead to blockages and incur management fees for residents. 6. There is currently no access, as the existing gate is behind a new hedgerow and fence. This application does not involve moving an existing access; access was lost due to the new estate and reinstated on Mortimer's Lane. 7. The proposal would negatively impact nature by disrupting an established hedgerow and affecting the scenic view along PFA with the loss of hedgerow.
Fiona Kendrick	14 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK	We wish to object to the above planning applications on the following grounds:

	EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	The agricultural vehicles would travel along Pembers farm Avenue, the only exit and entrance to the small new housing development from Mortimers lane. This will cause traffic holdups for residents driving in and out of the development as well as being a danger to cyclists and pedestrians. This is in an intensification of use of the road for which it was not intended. Pembers Farm Avenue is a 30mph road with cars parked on the road, which runs alongside a children's play area. There are many young families resident on the development many of whom must cross Pembers Farm Avenue, which does not have a designated crossing point, to reach the play area. To have agricultural vehicles travelling along a residential road housing young families and many with pets, in our view is dangerous with risk of death and injuries. The farmland has a current access route which has been satisfactory to date and this new access has no benefit in terms of reducing time and distance as this would be greater than the current access route. The land is farmed both during and outside of normal working hours which could cause a disturbance to residents and increasing the chances of accidents. The developers themselves had restricted working hours whilst carrying out building works because of this very reason. Please consider our points above when reaching your decision.
Peter Cole	14 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	We wish to object to the above planning applications on the following grounds: The agricultural vehicles would travel along Pembers farm Avenue, the only exit and entrance to the small new housing development from Mortimers lane. This will cause traffic holdups for residents driving in and out of the development as well as being a danger to cyclists and pedestrians. This is in an intensification of use of the road for which it was not intended. Pembers Farm Avenue is a 30mph road with cars parked on the road, which runs alongside a children's play area. There are many young families resident on the development many of whom must cross Pembers Farm Avenue, which does not have a designated crossing point, to reach the play area. To have agricultural vehicles travelling along a residential road housing young families and many with pets, in our view is dangerous with risk of death and injuries. The farmland has a current access route which has been satisfactory to date and this new access has no benefit in terms of reducing time and distance as this would be greater than the current access route. The land is farmed both during and outside of normal working hours which could cause a disturbance to residents and increasing the chances of accidents. The developers themselves had restricted working hours whilst carrying out building works because of this very reason. Please consider our points above when reaching your decision.
Samantha Banting	23 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	Very disappointed to see yet another attempt at planning for a gate to be put in for 'access' to these fields! The proposed new entrance would see large farm equipment exit the agricultural land totally in opposition to the oncoming traffic moving along Pembers Farm Avenue. Pembers Farm Avenue is currently a 30mph zone and, due to the lack of parking restrictions along it, often has vehicles parked in the road which these large farm

		vehicles would need to navigate. The plans submitted show a Swept Path Analysis, which appears to try and demonstrate a 10.6m vehicle can leave the proposed exit safely, but by your own admission would cross the oncoming traffic! This land has been satisfactorily farmed by the adjacent farm on behalf of the land owner and without requiring any additional access. In fact the proposed access would more than double the distance to access the land when compared to the current access route. This year this land was farmed well in to the silent hours and even then it has still woken us up living next to the land. If this were to happen again then we would have large vehicles transiting through the development during the silent hours, not only causing a disturbance to residents but also increase the risk of injury or accident. Drew Smith were prohibited from operating outside of the working hours for this reason. It is completely unsafe to be bringing large agricultural vehicles through this estate that is home to hundreds of children. There is a play park being built adjacent to the road where the agricultural vehicles would be driven in. This is NOT safe at all for anyone! There is no need for this gate to be put in. The farm have always used the existing access and have been perfectly fine. I do NOT support this application at all.
Ben Mcgee	31 PEMBERS FARM AVENUE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QL	I object to the aforementioned planning application for the following reasons: 1. Easy access to the field currently already exists 2. The proposed access will feed directly into a residential area where my 2 children play by allowing farm vehicles access it increases the risk of serious accidents and potential injuries 3. The application will involve farm vehicles driving on the wrong side of the road in order negotiate the turn into oncoming traffic which is dangerous 4. the sustainable drainage on the residential site is not suitable for the mud and dirt that will be accompanied by agricultural vehicles 5. The parking on the residential site is busy and means that it will no be suitable for large vehicles like tractors 6. A new play park is being added to the residential site meaning there will be more children in the vicinity and therefore more risk will be posed to the children if this application is allowed. 7. There will be an impact to wildlife and disturbance to roosting bats through additional noise generated should the hedgerow be taken down and farm vehicles being allowed access. 8. The application is not to move an existing access as currently there is no access on Pembers Farm avenue currently.
Megan Pake	13 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	o whom it may concern, I would like to write my concerns for this request and to object. This entrance is not necessary, there is already easy access to the field so there isn't a need for another one directly cutting through the middle of a new build estate. The estate isn't even complete yet and when asking Drew

		Smith if there any planning permissions or changes in the plan, the answer was no, things have been rejected for at least 10 years, which was a selling point for us, so this is very very disappointing. There is due to be a park/green directly off of the main road through the estate which puts the children of our estate at risk. If tractors are to go through they would only damage the roads which aren't built for those vehicles and it isn't fair to us on the estate who have bought a property here, that isn't what we were sold or wanted. The proposed access involves tractors driving on the wrong side of the road to make a tight turn into the path of oncoming traffic which is dangerous. By allowing this it will make the estate dustier, muddier and most of all noisier changing the character and selling point of the estate. The estate hasn't been built/ designed for agricultural mud. It also affects the view for all of the residents who have purchased properties next to where this request is for, that is not what they bought their properties based on. To conclude, it is just disappointing and upsetting for the whole estate, it is an unnecessary request which doesn't seem worth it.
Alex Williams	17 BELMERE DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QS	I strongly believe that easy access to the field is currently in place. The proposed access already would require tractors to drive on the wrong side of the road and traffic flow to gain access (currently right turn only). The current road and parking on PFA already mean that the road access can be narrow and not suited to tractors and trailers. Furthermore, mud, dust and debris from agricultural mud has not been accounted for in the current sustainable drainage system installed on Pembers Hill Park. This proposal also has a negative impact on environment. It would require breaking up an established hedgerow and disturbing the roosting bats in the tree near the current unused gate location.
Stuart Fuller	5 KEEPERS DRIVE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QR	see representation with Photos and diagrams in Documents Good day Dawn, and planning team, I write with reference to the Prior Notification PN/23/96630. As you will know, I wrote to you voicing my strong objections for the previous, and subsequently withdrawn, application. Those objections still stand as the resubmitted application is not materially different. The single most important issue with this proposal is one of safety. It is clear that should the access be opened at the point proposed, any vehicles, large or small, would be exiting the land and entering Pembers Farm Avenue against the flow of oncoming traffic. This is simply unacceptable and should not even be contemplated. There has already been a tragic incident at Pembers Hill Park involving a goods vehicle movement which it was found to have not been properly managed, and which resulted a 94 year old women lost both her legs in what was termed as an easily avoidable accident. Next time it could be a child and none of us wants that. The pictorial submission by Reading Agricultural Consultants in support of this application perfectly illustrates why the access should not be granted, because

		by their own admission the proposed access meets the existing main through road head on, on the wrong side of the road. The swept analysis provides an unrealistic view of the location which is more clearly shown in the photograph. A heavy goods or farm machinery route through a residential development with houses fronting the proposed route, and which passes through what will be the designated safe play area for children, is patently not suitable or appropriate. I also have reservations about the quality of information, and truth of statements made, in the most recent application. The previous application was made, by the agent, on behalf of Mr & Mrs Cooper. Now, just a few weeks later we have an application made, again by the agent, but solely on behalf of Mrs Cooper and which includes a statement from her that seeks to confirm that she ceased to be a business partner with the former husband, Kevin Cooper in 2018. That statement appears to contradict their joint application made to EBC on 18 September 23 The application covering letter claims that during the development of Pembers Hill Park it became apparent that the existing access was not suitable to accommodate all agricultural vehicles. It appears to have slipped the mind of the applicant that when this was raised by them in 2019, they applied for, and were granted, an access from Mortimers Lane in lieu of the lost access due to the development at Pembers Hill Park. That application (F/19/84748) very clearly used the term 'replace', leading you to imagine the access at Pembers Hill Park would cease to exist. Now we are seeing further applications to replace, or move that access which are clearly contrary to the application and statements made in 2019. If we reconsider that Mrs Cooper has made a statement in writing, and confirmed it's truth, to the effect that she ceased any business activities with her former husband in 2018, it seems difficult to imagine why Mr Cooper made an application to EBC in 2019 for access to the land that we know was owned by Mrs Cooper since 2010. It is almost certain that there are easements against the surrounding land which provides for an access over that land to the area owned by Mrs Cooper and which is the subject of this application. I would like the applicant to confirm, or otherwise, in writing before a decision is made. The covering letter attempts to deal with the residents concerns over mud being transferred from the land to the estate roads. It goes on to explain that as the roads would eventually be adopted by HCC and subsequently become their responsibly for cleaning. This is not an acceptable answer, it is not the role of the Highways department to clean up the mess made by users of an access that enters that road. I would further assert that Mrs Cooper knows very well what she agreed to when she sold the land for Pembers Hill Park, and therefore knows it is her responsibility to clean up any mud transferred to the estate roads at her expense. If the committee saw fit to grant this
--	--	--

		application it should be done so on the express understanding the a fully functional wheel wash facility was installed and maintained by the applicant. The covering letter responds to the concerns of increased noise and activity. It claims that as the proposed access would replace the existing access thus the level of activity would be the same. What it clearly chooses to overlook is that by its own admission the current access is blocked and is not physically able to be used, this is shown on the additional report submitted as part of the application. Therefore any traffic entering, or leaving, the land would be an increase in noise, activity, and risk to safety. It is clearly a failure on the part of Mrs Cooper, or her agents, to ensure that at planning stages and during the construction of the development of what is known as Pembers Hill Park, she did not enforce her right to access or compel the developers to provision her access in their plans. This failure of oversight now means that there is no safe, nor viable access to her land and therefore she must concede that it would be forever land locked and reliant on easements from the adjoining land owners. It worth noting that Mrs Cooper is proposing to sell her land for development so the intention to farm there is limited to that necessary until she can complete a sale. Stuart Fuller 5 Keepers Drive SO50 7QR
Derek Freeman	24 CHISLEBOURNE LANE FAIR OAK EASTLEIGH SO50 7QP	The siting of an Agricultural access would be harmful to the landscape amenity of the area as well as the visual scene, it would mean the loss of established hedgerow. It would also lead to the introduction of heavy farm equipment on a quiet residential area along with the associated risks to road safety, the introduction of debris dust and noise There also appears to be adequate existing access albeit over what the applicant states her ex husbands land, should she not be seeking an easement arrangement in order not to put the residents of Pembers Hill Park at unnecessary risk.